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Objectives

- Define and differentiate between ethical issues and uncomfortable issues
- Review basics of ethical theory/constructs
- Refresh state of the knowledge of the NASW Code of Ethics
- Discuss ethical issues in nursing homes
- Identify some relevant literature related to ethics in the Nursing Home arena
- Analyze case scenarios using Elaine Congress’ Ethic Model
- Possess knowledge about resources and interventions—ethics committees, increase influence of sw in the nh setting

First, what are VALUES?

- “Beliefs, preferences, or assumptions about what is desirable or good for humans. . .not assertions about how the world is but how it should be.”

- As such, value statements cannot be subjected to scientific investigation; can’t be “proven” right or wrong. They are what people believe /faith.
Uncomfortable vs. Unethical
Can be both - due to morals, values, beliefs, but the primary difference is, with unethical issues the potential outcome of the conflict or dilemma interferes with the level of responsibility our profession handles. From client, agency, community, ... Weighing the facts, background, realities independent of personal unease. Social workers are often in the heat of these issues.

• Client autonomy vs. community well-being
  — Responsibility to client and responsibility to the agency/organization
  — An ethical dilemma encompasses two competing goods (Beachamp & Childress, 2012)

Deontology
Deontology - Duty driven. Duty is independent of the concept of good. Features other than the consequences make something right or wrong.

Deontologists believe that values such as self-determination and confidentiality are so fundamental that they prevail whatever the circumstances. However, what is morally right may not be for the greater good.

Deontologists – may believe it is always wrong to lie – can you think of times we might overlook the moral issue of lying for the greater good/outcome?

Utilitarianism
Utilitarianism - worth of actions by their end or consequences. Teleological - end. What would happen if the person uses self-determination and compromises their/others safety? Greatest good for greatest number of people – i.e., removing a resident from a nursing home.

Decisions are often based on practice & experience, but a combination of philosophical theories are put to use.

And the third. . .

• Teleology - considers the intention/the action itself the circumstances and the end result – concerned with the amount of good that is produced.

• Teleologist might suggest that the most good is derived from trusting the autonomy of the staff – expecting them to make ethical choices – they then create the end result – might start an ethics committee.

Beneficience & Nonmaleficience

• Nonmaleficence – Do Not Inflict Harm

• Beneficence – Prevent Harm
  Remove Harm
  Promote Good

NASW Has the Code, but also this:

• 10 Standards of Practice specific to sw practice in LTC Facilities

• http://www.naswma.org/displaycommon.cfm?an=1&subarticleid=377&printpage=true
Care Plan Example

Date
Physical & Psychosocial Needs (Problem)
Resident has new orders for hospice care (in Perry’s example), more likely that resident is in the final stages of life.

Goals/Objectives
Resident will be kept comfortable. Resident will share feelings re: end of life issues and dx if able/needed.

Approaches/Interventions
1. Hospice care provided by agency of resident/family choice.
2. Nursing will keep resident as pain free as medically possible.
3. Refer family to grieving support group as necessary.
4. Allow resident time and opportunity to discuss situation as needed.
5. Check if resident would like clergy/other visits.
6. Hospice chaplain and sw to offer/provide services.
7. SS will provide support to resident as needed related to the dying process and psychosocial well-being.

Disciplines
Responsible Disciplines

Ethic Model
Elaine Congress, 2000

- Examine relevant personal, social, agency, client, and professional values.
- Think about what ethical standard from the NASW Code of Ethics might apply to the situation as well as about relevant laws and case decisions.
- Hypothesize about different courses of action
- Identify who will benefit and who will be harmed in view of social work’s commitment to the most vulnerable.
- Consult with supervisors and colleagues about the most ethical choice.

Risk Management

- Use consultation and documentation and base work on “reasonable standard of care”
- Understand your interface with the courts---confidentiality between clinician and client protected, subpoena not as compelling as court order, use legal guide and talk to client

Ethics in the Nursing Home Setting

- Advances in medical care/treatment
- New standards with MDS – section Q – upholding self determination – weighing risk
- Family needs or demands/preferences vs. resident preferences/rights
- Antipsychotics – when to use – SW input
- Complicated or “nontraditional” relationships
- Client autonomy and self-determination vs. risk
- Providing consent:
  – HIPPA realities, etc.

Autonomy, Informed Consent, etc.

- Autonomy self governance. Those declared mentally incompetent can still make autonomous choices.
- Autonomous actions – choosers who act
  1. Intentionally
  2. With understanding,
  3. Without controlling influences that determine the action.

Case Examples

Mary: elder with dementia
Vincent: young man, severe accident
Case Study #1: Mary, dementia, live-in “help”

- “Mary” was an 81 year old widowed female who resided in a private home in suburban NY. She had no children and no close family. She lived alone in her home since her husband died, and her memory had progressively deteriorated.
- A young couple had befriended her, buying groceries occasionally. They gradually moved into her home and did not pay rent or any of the bills.
- The couple financially exploited/abused her.
- Mary was living in one room of her entire home, which had become increasingly squalid as she will unable to care for it. Mary had become dehydrated, malnourished, and was found by medical responders lying in a bed with her own feces.

Case Study #2: “Vincent”.

- Vincent was a 25 year old married male who worked as an electrician. While working, Vincent was electrocuted and became profoundly disabled. He never created any type of advance directives and was now in a vegetative state. He was incapable of making any decisions and could not speak. He was unable to communicate in any way. He was admitted to a skilled nursing facility where he was on a ventilator. Staff had conflicting ideas about what was best for him.
- Issues: Quality of life; self-determination; Withdrawing life-sustaining treatment

Discussion

Vincent

- His wife agreed to have a physician issue a DNR for him, and staff were suspicious of her as they learned that she had filed for divorce prior to his accident. The social work staff and the medical staff debated the quality of Vincent’s life and could not reach agreement. Vincent remained on life sustaining treatment indefinitely.

Mary

- Mary was determined to have dementia, and needed a legal guardian. Guardianship proceedings were instituted by the skilled nursing facility she was admitted to. The couple that had been abusing her expressed interest in becoming her legal guardian, and the social worker at the nursing home was subpoenaed to testify against them.
- An arrest warrant was issued for the couple and they fled.
- Family Services Society of Westchester was appointed guardian
- The goal was to get Mary home with 24/7 care. A reverse mortgage was pursued to pay for the cost of care so that she would live her remaining days at home. This goal was successful and within about eight months Mary returned to her home with a live in professional caregiver.

Issues: Exploitation and financial abuse of elders; Self-determination; Quality of life
Could he communicate in any way? If not, consider rewording to "he could not communicate".

MBK4

Do you mean she agreed to have the physician issue a DNR?

MBK3
Resources to Reduce Risk of Ethical Violations

- Peer consultation
- Supervision (support, guidance, and taking concerns up the ladder)—doctrine of "vicarious liability"
- Expert consultation—ethics centers/think tanks, or "clinical ethicists"
- Ethics review panels—for education, case reviews, and to develop or recommend new policies
- Always, use of legal advice when needed

Resources

- Social Work Care Plans for Nursing Home Social Service Director

Resources Continued

- Gone From My Sight, The Dying Experience by Barbara Karnes
  - www.bkbooks.com
- Final Stages - Gone from my site
  - http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PPxqpos57g
- Hard Choices For Loving People, CPR, Artificial Feeding, Comfort Care, and the Resident with a Life Threatening Illness by Hank Dunn
  - http://www.hardchoices.com/
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Thank you.

A recording of this webinar is available through the National Nursing Home Social Work Network website:
http://clas.uiowa.edu/socialwork/nursing-home/webinars
sounds interesting and important!!!
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